OPEN MEETING





TO:

FROM:

DATE:

MEMORANDUM RECEIVED

2009 JUL 13 P 1:50

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Comporation Commission DOCKETED

JUL 13 2009

DOCKETED BY

RE:

APPLICATION OF **TRICO ELECTRIC** MATTER OF THE THE COOPERATIVE, INC. AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR AN ORDER APPROVING A BORDERLINE AGREEMENT (DOCKET NOS.

E-01461A-09-0312 AND E-01933A-09-0312)

THE COMMISSION

Utilities Division

July 13, 2009

On June 12, 2009, Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Trico") and Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") filed a Joint Application and Borderline Agreement ("Joint Application") for TEP to provide electric service to a residential customer, Mr. James Davis ("Davis property"). Both Trico and TEP are engaged in providing electric service within portions of Pima County, Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").

The Davis property is located within Trico's certified territory. According to the Joint Application, the estimated cost to establish the requested single-phase service to the Davis property by Trico was established at \$45,508.00. Staff contacted Trico regarding removing the cost of 600 feet from its estimate, because Trico allows 600 feet of free footage. After this adjustment (and an additional minor adjustment), Trico revised its cost estimate to \$25,000. The nearest Trico facilities are more than 1,970 feet from Davis property. However, TEP's nearest facilities are less than 500 feet from the Davis property. The cost to establish the requested single-phase service to the Davis property would be at no cost, if served by TEP. The customer could also receive a second service from TEP at \$112.00.

In Section IV of the Joint Application and Borderline Agreement, filed June 12, 2009, Trico reserves the right to provide electric service to the Davis property when Trico determines that it is economically feasible to do so. If Trico chooses to exercise the right to serve the Davis property, Trico will compensate TEP for any TEP facilities used by Trico in order to provide service.

The Joint Application and Borderline Agreement included copies of both Trico's and TEP's applicable tariff pages. According to the tariff pages submitted, the customer would also benefit from the lower single-phase residential rates provided by TEP.

Staff believes that the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP is a reasonable solution to allow extension of electric service to the Davis property and is in the public interest. Therefore, Staff recommends the Commission approve the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP. In addition, Staff recommends that TEP be required to notify the Commission prior to

THE COMMISSION July 13, 2009 Page 2

exercising its right to provide service to the Davis property. Staff further recommends that the Commission specify in its Order that approval of the Borderline Agreement at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP.

Ernest G. Johnson Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:KS:lhm\KOT

ORIGINATORS: Kiana Sears

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	DEFORE THE ARIZONA CORI ORATION COMMISSION
2	KRISTIN K. MAYES
3	Chairman GARY PIERCE
4	Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN
5	Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY
6	Commissioner BOB STUMP
7	Commissioner
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NOS. E-01461A-09-0312 OF TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, E-01933A-09-0312
9	INC. AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER \ \ \ \
10	COMPANY FOR AN ORDER APPROVING DECISION NO A BORDERLINE AGREEMENT ORDER
11	A STATE ORDER
12	
13 14	Open Meeting July 28 and 29, 2009 Phoenix, Arizona
15	BY THE COMMISSION:
16	FINDINGS OF FACT
17	1. Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Trico") and Tucson Electric Power Company
18	("TEP) are engaged in providing electric service within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority
19	granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission" or "ACC").
20	2. On June 12, 2009, Trico and TEP filed a Joint Application and Borderline
21	Agreement ("Joint Application") for TEP to provide electric service to a residential customer,
22	Mr. James Davis ("Davis property"). Both Trico and TEP are engaged in providing electric
23	service within portions of Pima County, Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the
24	Commission.
25	3. The Davis property is located within Trico's certified territory. According to Trico
26	the estimated cost to establish the requested single-phase service to the Davis property by Trico is
27	approximately \$25,000. The nearest Trico facilities are more than 1,970 feet from the Davis
28	approximately \$23,000. The nearest files facilities are more than 1,370 feet from the Bavie
7.0	II

property and less than 500 feet from the nearest TEP facilities. Therefore, TEP can serve at no cost for the connection of the primary service. TEP can also provide a second service at an approximate cost of \$112.00.

- 5. In Section IV of the Joint Application and Borderline Agreement, filed June 12, 2009, Trico reserves the right to provide electric service the Davis property when Trico determines that it is economically feasible to do so. If Trico chooses to exercise the right to serve the Davis property, Trico will compensate TEP for any TEP facilities used by Trico in order to provide service.
- 6. The Joint Application and Borderline Agreement included copies of both Trico's and TEP's applicable tariff pages. According to the tariff pages submitted, the customer would also benefit from the lower single-phase residential rates provided by TEP.
- 7. Staff believes that the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP is a reasonable solution to allow extension of electric service to the Davis property and is in the public interest.
- 8. Staff recommends the Commission approve the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP.
- 9. Staff recommends that TEP be required to notify the Commission prior to exercising its right to provide service to the Davis property.
- 10. Staff further recommends that the Commission specify in its Order that approval of the Borderline Agreement at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the Borderline Agreement between Trico and TEP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Trico and TEP are Arizona public service corporations within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Trico and TEP and over the subject matter of the Application.

Decision No.

Decision M

Decision No.

28